UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

DWAYNE B., a minor, by his Next Friend, John Stempfle, et al, for themselves and others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

V.

Hon. Nancy G. Edmunds CIVIL ACTION NO. 06-13548

RICK SNYDER, in his official capacity as Governor of the State of Michigan, et al,

Defendants.

MISCELLANEOUS HEARING SIXTH REPORT ON THE STATE'S COMPLIANCE WITH CONSENT DECREE before the Honorable Nancy G. Edmunds United States District Judge

MARCH 20, 2013

APPEARANCES:

MS. SARA BARTOSZ, ESQ.

MS. ELIZABETH PITMAN GRETTER, ESQ. In behalf of Plaintiffs.

MR. JOSEPH E. POTCHEN, ESQ. In behalf of Defendants

ALSO PRESENT:

DHS Director Maura Corrigan Mr. Kevin Ryan Mr. Michael Gadola

Mr. Steve Yager

Suzanne Jacques, Official Court Reporter email: jacques@transcriptorders.com

INDEX

- - -

SIXTH REPORT ON THE STATE'S COMPLIANCE WITH CONSENT DECREE

Comments by 1	Ms.	Corrigan	4
Comments by 1	Mr.	Potchen	10
Comments by 1	Mr.	Yager	12
Comments by 1	Ms.	Bartosz	18
Comments by I	Ms.	Crummy	21
Comments by 1	Mr.	Ryan	23
Comments by	The	Court	24
Certification	n of	Reporter	27

1	
1	Detroit, Michigan
2	Wednesday, March 20, 2013
3	9:03 a.m.
4	
5	LAW CLERK: Dwayne B, et al, versus Snyder, case
6	number 06-13548. Counsel, state your appearances for the
7	record.
8	MS. BARTOSZ: Good morning, Your Honor,
9	Sara Bartosz, from Children's Rights, for the plaintiffs.
10	MS. GRETTER: Elizabeth Gretter, from Children's
11	Rights, for the plaintiff.
12	MR. POTCHEN: Joseph Potchen, Assistant Attorney
13	General, for the defendants.
14	MS. CORRIGAN: Maura Corrigan, DHS director for the
15	defendant.
16	MR. YAGER: Steve Yager, director of Children's
17	Services, for the defendant.
18	MR. GADOLA: Michael Gadola, counsel to Governor
19	Snyder.
20	THE COURT: Well, thank you for moving this back two
21	days so that I could get out of here for the weekend. I
22	appreciate that, and I know Director Corrigan has another
23	meeting or hearing coming up shortly, so let's move right into
24	this. And I'd like to hear first from the State with respect
25	to progress made, then from the plaintiffs in terms of areas

still to be improved, and then from the monitors.

Director Corrigan.

1.3

2.2

2.4

(9:02 a.m.)

MS. CORRIGAN: Good morning, Your Honor, and thank you for this opportunity to appear today.

As the Court knows, I accepted the governor's offer to lead the Department of Human Services primarily because of this lawsuit, and I believe that the second monitor's report covering the period of January first of 2012 to June 30, 2012 reflects meaningful progress on many fronts. It shows that we are doing many things right, and as far as what's wrong, we are making needed corrections.

I think that Michigan's true progress can be buried in the maze of detail when it is viewed only in the context of the myriad of the individual data reports and measures. Not every commitment in the MSA should be weighted equally.

To get to the heart of the matter, we are making lasting, systemic improvements, and we are well on the way to substantial compliance with the MSA. Our goal does remain to seek an order of dismissal from this Court by the end of 2014.

And while compliance with the MSA is admittedly critical, it is not our sole focus at the Department.

Sustainability of our progress is critical to ensuring that our Department can provide for the safety, permanency, and wellbeing of the children who come into Michigan's child

welfare system consistent with our laws.

2.2

2.4

The reform efforts at the Department not only address the constitutional claims that underlie the plaintiffs' lawsuit, I believe that we are reestablishing Michigan as a national leader in outcome-driven child welfare practices and policies. Nothing about our department, our private agency partners, or Michigan courts is deliberately indifferent to the rights of children in foster care. We understand our constitutional obligations, and we are discharging them to the best of our ability.

I want to highlight a few specific achievements covering January to June of last year, make a few comments, and then the Court will hear from Mr. Potchen and from Steve Yager, head of Children's Services.

In the reporting period, we successfully launched our new statewide hotline and centralized intake of complaints of abuse and neglect. The initial results are encouraging. As our rate of investigation, county by county, across the state has tightened up, so has our consistency in handling complaints by applying the same legal standards to all cases statewide.

Second, regarding our caseload standards, we have met or exceeded the required caseload standards in six of the seven measured categories including child protection, foster care, adoption, child protection ongoing, and supervisor-caseworker ratios. We are close in the POS

monitoring.

2.2

2.4

We have focused on managing assignments and on appropriate closures, we've increased the automation of the process of counting cases, and developed new tools to assist our managers in balancing the loads. In foster care, we initiated and oversaw a huge statewide effort to recruit and license new foster homes.

In the reporting period, we licensed 1,316 new non-relative homes, and although the monitors have indicated that they were unable to verify those numbers based on a four percent sample, we intend to undertake verification of each and every case through an external review in order to determine the accuracy of that number. We will also further provide independent verification of the 1,450 target that is for this year.

I think it's a remarkable feat for the State to have developed the infrastructure to implement and track progress and license 1,300 new non-relative homes in less than a year, and that is what we did.

In addition, in April of 2012, we successfully launched the young adult voluntary foster care program when the dollars started flowing and the federal government approved our plan.

We understand that there's a separate motion pending before the Court to deal with the scope of the class, but

nonetheless, this is an innovative program for our state. It makes us a leader in the Midwest to extend services to 18 to 21-year-olds as they transition to independence.

2.2

2.4

With regard to post-secondary education for foster youth, we worked with our state's colleges and universities, and in May of 2012, we hosted an education conference in which 30 colleges and universities attended, to focus on the special challenges facing foster youth, and to improve services for them. We also contracted with seven colleges and universities to provide life skills and 24/7 supports with campus coaches to support our foster youth.

With regard to process, we consolidated the monitoring of public and private child welfare agencies in a single unit at the Department with integrated oversight by the BCAL, as we call it, the Bureau of Child and Adult Licensing.

With regard to permanency, last September we broke every past historical record by having 82.9 percent of the children in care have finalized adoptions. This exceeded the MSA standard which was 70 percent. During the monitoring period, we successfully licensed —— I'm sorry —— finalized 278 juvenile guardianships which was almost double our commitment in the MSA.

We expanded the Serious Emotional Disturbance Waiver program to more than 30 counties statewide, allowing even better access to Medicaid funding for children suffering from

serious emotional disturbance who are committed to our care.

1.3

2.2

Finally, and you'll hear more on this from Mr. Yager, we launched the statewide implementation of the MiTEAM case practice model, a model that fully engages and partners with our family.

I want to briefly respond to what the monitors had to say with regard to what's wrong. With regard to child safety, we are committed to protecting each and every one of Michigan's children who comes into our system, and it is critical to understand that the data reported to the Court predates the period under review. That's the nature of federal reporting.

It is critical to note, I believe, that with regard to maltreatment in care, we fell below the federal standard by less than one percent, and in fiscal year 2012, we've improved further to half a percentage point.

With regard to CPS investigations commencing timely, that's a valid concern. We have already improved on this factor in the 2012 fiscal year by a 13-percent figure with regard to immediate commencement, and with regard to 24-hour commencement, we improved by a three percent figure last year.

And in measuring compliance with this standard and also with regard to children's visitation to parent-child visits, areas where we're out of compliance, I believe it's critical to note the data quality issues that we have, and I

2.2

2.4

believe that many of the concerns addressed here will be answered by the rollout in this massive project we've got going of MiSACWIS, the Michigan State Automated Child Welfare Information System that we will have rolled out by October. That should solve many of the data quality issues where we're deficient, and where, when there are follow-up interviews with staff and stakeholders, there is a showing of greater compliance than that which is listed in our current SWSS system.

It's part of the reason we wanted to undertake the MiSACWIS project, which was, again, massive, and on target to be rolled out.

As for relative placements, another problem that's noted in the monitor's report, and I won't speak to all of them, I want to point out that Michigan ranks among the top ten states in the nation for percentage of assignments with relatives for our children, and so the lack of a documented license or waiver doesn't imply that the placement is improper or unsafe. It is something we need to fix, and we are about fixing it.

Your Honor, these are a few of the highlights of the progress in Period 2. There is more to come. I am extremely proud of the efforts that Michigan is making not only to meet our commitments as they are spelled out in the MSA, but to go beyond them to restore our great State of Michigan to

leadership in the field of child welfare in the United States.

Thank you, Your Honor. I'm prepared to take any questions that the Court might have. If not, I'll turn the podium over to Mr. Potchen.

THE COURT: I don't have any questions right now.

Thank you, Director Corrigan.

MS. CORRIGAN: Thank you.

2.2

2.4

THE COURT: Mr. Potchen.

(9:13 a.m.)

MR. POTCHEN: Thank you, Your Honor.

Director Corrigan has already addressed some of the highlights that have been critical to the Department's success accomplished during the reporting Period 2 and beyond. And she made very clear that the defendant's goal is to emerge from federal court oversight, and as you can see, that the Department is progressing towards substantially complying with the Modified Settlement Agreement.

I'd like to highlight for this Court four significant areas of accomplishment and that are fundamental to sustainable child welfare reform.

First, during the reporting period, the Department created business service centers at local offices. These centers increased the ability to conduct direct monitoring for compliance with child welfare requirements. It also allows for problem solving in more localized manageable groups which helps

Comments by Mr. Potchen March 20, 2013

counties address performance issues and address training needs that help them move quickly and more appropriately through the system.

Second, the Department, as the director mentioned, has continued its development of the MiSACWIS case management system. The Department completed its pilot last fall, and will meet the MSA requirement for the statewide system by October of this year, and this accomplishment is critical to our success.

Third, the Department expanded and strengthened Michigan's adoption and foster home recruitment and retention network to provide quality permanency options, and in this area, Your Honor, DHS achieved much during the MSA period and beyond. They partnered with foster, adoptive, and kinship support networks throughout Michigan, they continued to partnership with faith communities, they implemented eight regional post-adoption resource centers throughout the state to assist adoptive families, and they hired additional permanency resource managers to assist foster care adoption workers in achieving permanency for children throughout training and through case review meetings.

And finally, as Director Corrigan mentioned, DHS continues to partner with Michigan universities. Not only has it helped create the Michigan social work childcare welfare certification program, DHS has also worked with Michigan State University to provide in-service training to all DHS staff, and

Comments by Mr. Potchen March 20, 2013

they've also developed an internship program for recruiting, training, and placing child welfare interns.

1.3

2.2

2.4

As we have stated previously, Your Honor, it is
Michigan's goal to move forward with its vision, which focuses
on fundamental child welfare outcomes and complying with the
law, not only to meet the requirements of the Modified
Settlement Agreement, but to move beyond it. And as you can
see, Your Honor, DHS is developing a strong foundation for
sustaining its efforts at reforming its child welfare system.

And now, Your Honor, unless you have questions, I'd like to turn it over to Steve Yager, the director of the Department of Children's Services Administration.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Potchen. Mr. Yager.

MR. YAGER: Thank you for the opportunity to be here today, Your Honor. I'll speak a little bit to some of the systemic changes that the Department has made in the months previous to this court date.

As the Department continues to move forward in implementing the provisions of the Modified Settlement Agreement, we are achieving large-scale, systemic improvements. We are increasing our capacity to monitor our work and self correct, and, finally, we are prioritizing our focus.

These continued efforts directly address the concerns raised in the monitor's report. They focus on improving child safety outcomes and timely responding to

reports of abuse and neglect.

1.3

2.2

DHS has made significant changes since Monitoring

Period 2. Some of those changes will be reflected in the MSA 3

report which will cover July through December 2012, but even

that report will not reflect all that we're currently doing and

plan to do in the future.

Our approach at this juncture is to devote our efforts to three critical systemic supports. First, full implementation of the MiTEAM case practice model; second, leadership development and management capacity which will infuse continuous quality improvement at all layers of the operation, and third, full implementation of MiSACWIS.

The MiTEAM case practice model is critical to our success. Notably, the MSA 2 report states that the MSA embeds a new case practice model. This is significant. Our case practice model sets forth our vision, mission, and guiding principles.

The best way to improve outcomes is to strengthen practice by embedding and supporting the case practice model at all levels of the agency. This does not happen all at once. It will occur in a well-staged, intensive rollout. The case practice model refocuses our workforce on the customer. All across the country, states are involved in litigation that is overly focused on hundreds of moving parts at the same time when the focus needs to be squarely on the customer and on

outcomes for our families. We need to place families back on center stage. We are doing this through MiTEAM, and we are beginning to see results.

1.3

2.2

2.4

When we look at outcomes for children, Michigan successfully achieved our federal permanency goals, timeliness and permanency of reunification, timeliness of adoptions, permanency for children in long-term care, and placement stability. These are outcomes that we celebrate. MiTEAM focuses staff on moving families to successful outcomes.

As the director mentioned, Michigan is also ranked in the top tier of all states in the country for placements with relatives, another positive outcome that no doubt contributed to our success with permanency goals.

While the monitor's report for MSA 2 noted some challenges with parent-child visits and timeliness of CPS investigations, it is important to recognize that DHS was not at 100 percent staffing. As we continue to grow and stabilize our workforce, we will have sufficient staff to cover cases.

It is also important to note that our current reporting system, SWSS, has gaps resulting in overcounting in the social work contacts and CPS 24-hour investigation contacts. This is fixed in MiSACWIS.

Additionally, it is important to put some of the comments of the MSA 2 report into context. As our director mentioned, much of the Department's progress tends to get lost

in the detail. The MSA-2 report discusses placements with relatives, pointing out that 4,810 children were placed with relatives. This is good for children and results in positive permanency outcomes.

1.3

2.2

2.4

The report references DHS's challenges around documentation of waivers and licensing for some children, but does not conclude that those placements are improper or unsafe.

MiSACWIS will support better documentation of our work in our system.

The second systemic support focuses on leadership development management capacity. We have already taken steps to develop leadership and management capacity at all levels. We have established a clear, strong management structure in our business service centers that Attorney Potchen referenced. Central office now has, for the first time, a clear supervisory relationship with our field directors and our private agency providers. We have established a track record of engaging key system stakeholders such as our private agency providers in the courts and the faith-based community.

We have worked diligently to ensure that leadership at all levels are now aligned and prepared to support implementation efforts.

We have implemented a focused strategic plan by which all staff, my level, all the way down, are evaluated. Moving forward, we will develop an integrated and robust

1.3

2.2

2.4

implementation plan, a plan that integrates all activities toward reform. We will establish state and local implementation teams to ensure that implementation occurs. These structural changes included continued efforts to grow the quality and capacity of a statewide Continuous Quality Improvement System. A robust CQI system allows us to assess quality and outcomes, and emphasize people over process. CQI will tell us which policies and practices support good outcomes for children and families.

Although the monitor's report noted positive achievements in implementing CQI to date, the report notes the monitor's lack of confidence in the accuracy of data and information in some practice areas. All of these areas will have improved reporting capacity through the implementation of a CQI qualitative case review process reflecting actual case practice at the field level.

The third and final systemic report requires full implementation of MiSACWIS. Our commitment is to strengthen and develop our capacity to monitor performance through the rollout of MiSACWIS by October first.

We are well aware of the data quality concerns regarding our child welfare data, however, we generally find that case file records and interviews with families and stakeholders demonstrate a greater compliance than that which is reflected in the current data system.

2.2

2.4

As mentioned regarding CPS response time and social work contacts, SWSS does have gaps, often over counting noncompliance. We're aware of these gaps, and to address them we've included a wider array of internal and external stakeholders in the design of MiSACWIS to ensure that it captures all critical data points.

In summary, DHS is committed to achieving substantial compliance with the MSA, and more importantly, Michigan is committed to achieving the best possible outcomes for children and families that we serve.

While the monitors identify challenges, we are moving forward and making progress to address those challenges. For example, our Child Welfare Training Institute incorporated safety assessment and planning requirements into training for both front-line staff and child welfare supervisors. We have developed and implemented a health case review protocol. We have developed and implemented a series of CQI protocols which enable a qualitative review of maltreatment in care cases, our centralized intake processes, and our CPS investigations. And we established a health review committee to focus on improving the quality of provision of health care for children.

Again, Your Honor, we appreciate your time, the time and efforts of the monitors and all individuals involved in assuring safe outcomes for children.

I am proud of the improvements DHS has made since

the beginning of the lawsuit, I'm proud of the hard work and dedication of our workforce, public and private, and I am proud to be a part of a team that has been led by such a strong and effective leader as Director Corrigan. I share her vision, and I know that we are on a path that will benefit foster children and families of this great state.

Thank you.

2.2

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Yager. Ms. Bartosz.

(9:24 a.m.)

MS. BARTOSZ: Thank you, Judge. Good morning, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Good morning.

MS. BARTOSZ: It's a privilege to be back before you, and a privilege to be back here on behalf of the children and the plaintiff class.

Your Honor, Commissioner Corrigan, Mr. Yager, Mr. Potchen have already addressed areas of progress, and on behalf of the plaintiffs, let me say, we're gratified to see progress where it is made, and we applaud the efforts of folks within DHS, as well as folks within the private provider community, for all the input to make that progress.

That said, Judge, when we were last before you, I raised a warning flag that was within the last monitoring period report about child safety, and tried to raise awareness, attention on that issue, because it is so significant. As a

Comments by Ms. Bartosz March 20, 2013

matter of fact, under federal policy, it's very clear that the obligations, the duties, the basic mission of a child welfare agency, and these are the words the feds use, are first and foremost, first and foremost, safety of children. I want to focus on that area today because it is so vital.

2.2

Your Honor, this monitoring report shows that
Michigan is operating amongst the lowest performers in the
nation on assuring safety in foster care, and there are rates
that are measured, and we can talk about percentages and tenths
of percentages, what have you. Let's talk about children.

There are, in the annual period reported in the monitoring report, 269 children who were victims of abuse in foster care. That's a child every business day of the year on average. Clearly, we can't be satisfied with that. No one can be content with that, and focus must be placed, searingly, on that issue, because if that doesn't come along, we've missed the primary mission here: Safety. Permanency and these other missions, of course, are vital, essential, but child safety is so essential.

In looking at that number, Judge, plaintiffs want to call out a few areas that probably need extra focus.

One, is visitation, caseworker child visitation, a core element of safety. Performance in that area is shown at 73 percent. To put that in context, Judge, the federal government right now requires 90 percent or a state can be

Comments by Ms. Bartosz March 20, 2013

assessed a fine from its IV-E funding, and shortly, in 2015, the federal government will fine if it's not at 95 percent.

2.2

2.4

We're now 17 percent short of 90, and over 20 percent short of the 95. There is much work to be done, and improvement in this area, plaintiffs believe, will help improve that rate of safety. It's a critical area.

Kinship licensing. Progress has been made, but,
Your Honor, there remain some 1,500 children, or more, who are
currently in unlicensed relative foster homes where there's not
a waiver signed or evidence of an application. 40 percent of
the 269 child victims were residing in unlicensed relative
homes. This area requires focus, urgently.

Investigations. They're late, and they're late too often, and the data is crystal clear in the report. And a concern to be looked at here, Judge, is there is a bit of a conundrum in my mind as I look at this on behalf of the children, that caseloads are moving in the right direction, and yet visits don't seem to be happening, the investigations are late. I think we may have to take a deeper look at these caseloads to see if the averages are bearing out at the individual level. What's the distribution like? There may be some issues to really focus in and zero in on. There's more work, I suspect, to be done on caseloads.

Those are the areas I wanted to place focus on, Judge, the safety area, and we do applaud the progress, we

Comments by Ms. Bartosz March 20, 2013

really do, but we can't lose sight of the overall mission of this MSA, and of this lawsuit, and if we take focus off areas like this and place our focus on the progress, we're not going to be urgent enough, focused enough, energized enough to get this thing done and done right.

I thank the Court for its time.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

25

THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Bartosz. Ms. Crummy or Mr. Ryan, or both.

(9:29 a.m.)

MS. CRUMMY: Good morning, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Good morning.

MS. CRUMMY: Today, we are submitting to the Court the monitor's sixth report in the matter of Dwayne B v. Snyder, and today's report is the second the monitor's have submitted under the parties' Modified Settlement Agreement which was filed with the Court on July 18, 2011.

This report to the Court reflects the efforts of the DHS leadership team along with its community partners, and the status of Michigan's reform efforts as of June 30, 2012, reflecting progress for the first six months of 2012, defined as Period 2 in the Modified Settlement Agreement.

The Modified Settlement Agreement reflects the parties' joint desire to improve outcomes for children and families in Michigan's child welfare system.

The Modified Settlement Agreement also provides the

Comments by Ms. Crummy Wednesday, March 20, 2013

plaintiff class relief in the form of immediate action steps and strategies to bring attention and improvement to critical areas, and establishes benchmarks and performance targets that DHS and the leadership team has committed to meet in order to realize sustainable reform.

2.2

2.4

Throughout Period 2 and thereafter, the monitoring team participated in various and many meetings with DHS and its private agency partners, interviewed field staff at all levels in both public and private agencies, and conducted extensive verification work in preparation for submitting the Period 2 report to the Court.

Having done so, it is clear to the monitoring team that Director Corrigan, her leadership team and staff, along with private agency partners, remain committed to achieving positive outcomes for Michigan's children. The challenges faced in reforming any child welfare system are many, as they are in Michigan, a state early in its reform, and Director Corrigan has tackled those challenges with focus and unyielding commitment.

During Period 2, DHS developed and began to roll out its new case practice model, which was described to you previously. To improve the care of children in its custody, DHS operationalized a child welfare division of continuous quality improvement that is beginning to review several important areas of casework practice, and Director Corrigan

Comments by Ms. Crummy Wednesday, March 20, 2013

personally launched new partnerships, convening a faith-based summit to engage the faith community in the recruitment and retention of foster parents. And there is a wonderful collaboration in Michigan with the Department and the higher education community to begin to address the needs of foster youth.

1.3

2.4

MR. RYAN: And, Your Honor, DHS made other significant accomplishments foundational to creating a strong child welfare system. During this period under review, DHS successfully implemented a unified, statewide centralized hotline to receive and manage all calls alleging child maltreatment, which was no small feat.

DHS met caseload standards, as the defendants discussed, for supervisors and staff responsible for adoption, foster care, child protective services and licensing work, due to an aggressive hiring and training program initiated by Director Corrigan in 2011, as well as better management of workloads by DHS leadership and Mr. Yager.

DHS committed to finalize 165 juvenile guardianships during calendar year 2012, and exceeded that target by finalizing 278 juvenile guardianships.

DHS expanded the range of post-adoption services by creating eight post-adoption resource centers statewide that provide services and supports to families who adopt children from Michigan's foster care system.

Comments by Mr. Ryan Wednesday, March 20, 2013

While very much was accomplished by DHS in Period 2, there are areas important to children's safety, permanency, and wellbeing, where DHS did not meet its commitments.

In particular, DHS is experiencing significant challenges with regard to its commitments regarding licensure of resource families, including the licensure waiver of families of relative foster -- excuse me -- of relative foster parents. DHS did not meet the standard agreed upon in the MSA regarding repeat maltreatment of children over short periods of time, and DHS did not meet the agreed-upon standard for the safety of children in foster care. DHS did not meet the standards for initiation of Child Protective Service investigations, and did not meet its commitment to ensure that workers visit children and parents, and that workers facilitate visitation between parents and children.

That said, there continues to be very important and very impressive work under way at DHS to achieve these commitments and to maintain the progress made in other areas of the MSA since Director Corrigan took to the helm of the agency.

Our next report to the Court, covering the last half of 2012, will provide the Court with information regarding Michigan's ongoing progress in creating sustainable reform of this state's child welfare system.

Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you.

2.2

2.4

Comments by The Court Wednesday, March 20, 2013

2.2

Well, first of all, let me congratulate the State for a huge amount of progress in significant areas, and we just couldn't be luckier to have someone as focused and committed as Director Corrigan. It's just really remarkable how much progress has been made since the Modified Settlement Agreement was entered in the summer of 2011.

That being said, I think that it would be foolish not to recognize that there are significant areas that still need a lot of work, particularly the child safety issues that were mentioned in the monitoring report and which Ms. Crummy and Mr. Ryan just articulated, and Ms. Bartosz, too, of course, and Director Corrigan acknowledges that those are areas in which the State still has come up short of the outcomes that they committed to, and that everyone wants to meet.

I mean, I don't think there's any real be debate that child safety is the number one objective of the litigation in the first place, and I have confidence that the State is fully committed to meet those goals, and will continue to work hard to do so.

I understand the State's strong desire to have exited this case by the end of 2014, and frankly, I don't know if that's doable or not, we'll have to wait and see. There's still a lot to be done. And a huge amount of progress has been made, but this program was in terrible shape when the suit was filed, and not in much better shape, really, if at all, when

Comments by The Court Wednesday, March 20, 2013

Director Corrigan took it over. So there's been great progress, but there's still, I mean, it was a very broken system, and I don't know how long it will take to fix it.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

I appreciate the hard work of everybody to move it in the right direction.

I do want to say, with respect to the issue of the monitoring of the young adults which was raised by the State and responded to by the plaintiffs, that it seems to me that, and I'll issue a short Opinion and Order on this, but it seems to me that, although not specifically, perhaps, covered by the original complaint, that the State did undertake this obligation for youths transitioning out of foster care to young adulthood. I don't think that the type of monitoring that's needed for the young adults is anything close to what's needed for younger children who remain -- I don't think the monitoring is required to be at the same level that it is for the younger children in foster care, but we are receiving federal funds for this program, and to the extent that there is some monitoring necessary just to see that the State is meeting its obligations to continue to get the federal funds, that that's probably appropriate.

I'll take another look at it and issue something short, but it seems to me that a narrowly focused monitoring approach would be the appropriate way to go on this particular issue with the young adults.

Comments by The Court Wednesday, March 20, 2013

I want to thank everybody for your tremendous work in this area, and on behalf of the children in foster care, I think progress has been made, and there's still a long part of the road to go, and thank you for all your hard work in this area.

THE CLERK: Court stands in recess.

(Proceedings adjourned 9:40 a.m.)

CERTIFICATION

I, Suzanne Jacques, Official Court Reporter for the United States
District Court, Eastern District of Michigan, Southern Division,
hereby certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript of the
proceedings in the above-entitled cause on the date set forth.

Date: March 30, 2013

s:\

SUZANNE JACQUES, CSR, RMR, CRR Official Court Reporter

Eastern District of Michigan